

A Phenomenological Critique of Darwin's Theory of Human Origins and Its Cultural Implications

Iskandarsyah Siregar

Universitas Nasional, Indonesia

Email: regaranggi@presidency.com

Abstract:

This article critically examines Darwin's theory of human origins through a phenomenological lens, highlighting perceived misconceptions embedded within the narrative of evolution. While Darwin's theory, emphasizing natural selection and biological processes, has profoundly influenced scientific thought, it has also faced significant scrutiny for its reductionist approach to human existence. This study explores how the theory often overlooks humanity's spiritual, cultural, and moral dimensions, reducing humans to mere biological entities. By analyzing phenomenological critiques and participant narratives, the article reveals the limitations of Darwinian evolution in fully explaining human consciousness, identity, and purpose. It also discusses the cultural and ethical implications of applying Darwinian principles to society, particularly concerning social inequality and moral relativism. The study concludes by advocating for integrative frameworks that combine scientific understanding with humanistic values, encouraging interdisciplinary dialogue and alternative perspectives on human origins.

Keywords:

Phenomenology; Critique; Darwin's Theory; Cultural

I. Introduction

The theory of evolution, as proposed by Charles Darwin in the 19th century, has profoundly influenced our understanding of human origins and the development of life on Earth. Darwin's seminal work, *On the Origin of Species*, introduced the concept of natural selection as the mechanism through which species evolve. While widely accepted in the scientific community, Darwin's theory has also been the subject of intense scrutiny and debate, particularly regarding its implications for the origins of humanity. This article undertakes a critical phenomenological analysis of Darwin's theory, focusing on the perceived "lies" or misconceptions embedded within the narrative of human evolution.

Darwin's theory suggests that all species, including humans, have descended from common ancestors through gradual adaptation and mutation. This idea, while revolutionary, raises fundamental questions about the nature of human existence, identity, and the purpose of life itself. Critics argue that when applied to human origins, Darwin's theory overlooks or dismisses essential aspects of what it means to be human. Some see the reduction of human beings to mere products of evolutionary processes, devoid of intrinsic purpose or meaning beyond survival and reproduction, as a significant philosophical and existential oversimplification.

This article approaches Darwin's theory from a phenomenological perspective, which seeks to understand individuals' lived experiences and subjective realities. As a philosophical method, phenomenology is concerned with how we perceive and make sense of the world around us. By applying this approach to the critique of Darwinian evolution, we can explore

how the theory shapes our understanding of human origins and the extent to which it aligns—or conflicts—with the lived experiences of individuals and cultures.

One of the central contentions of this analysis is that Darwin's theory, while scientifically compelling, may only partially account for the complexity and depth of human experience. The "lies" referred to in the title of this article are not necessarily intentional falsehoods propagated by Darwin or his successors. Instead, refer to the limitations and potential distortions inherent in applying a strictly naturalistic and materialistic framework to the question of human origins. These "lies" manifest in various forms, from the reductionist view of human beings as mere biological entities to the marginalization of human life's spiritual, cultural, and moral dimensions.

The first aspect of this critique examines the reductionism implicit in Darwin's theory. By framing human origins in purely biological terms, Darwin's theory tends to reduce humans to the sum of their physical parts and evolutionary history. This perspective neglects the rich tapestry of human culture, spirituality, and consciousness that cannot be easily explained by natural selection alone. Phenomenology challenges this reductionism by emphasizing the importance of subjective experience and how individuals interpret and engage with the world. Through a phenomenological lens, human beings are not merely evolved organisms but conscious agents with the capacity for self-reflection, creativity, and the search for meaning.

Furthermore, the article explores how Darwin's theory has influenced modern conceptions of human identity and purpose. The notion that humans are the product of random mutations and survival mechanisms can lead to a sense of nihilism or existential despair. If our origins are rooted solely in the struggle for survival, what does this imply about the purpose of human life? With its focus on lived experience, phenomenology offers a counter-narrative to this deterministic view. It suggests that human beings possess an innate drive to seek meaning and transcendence, qualities that are not easily reconciled with a purely Darwinian account of human origins.

Another critical point of analysis is the impact of Darwinian thought on cultural and moral values. The theory of evolution has been used, at times, to justify social Darwinism and other ideologies that promote the survival of the fittest as a guiding principle for human society. This has led to the devaluation of compassion, cooperation, and moral responsibility, essential to human communities' fabric. By critically examining these implications, the article seeks to uncover how Darwin's theory may inadvertently perpetuate harmful ideologies and undermine the moral foundations of society.

In conclusion, this article argues that while Darwin's theory of evolution has made significant contributions to our understanding of biological processes, it still needs to fully capture the essence of what it means to be human. A critical phenomenological analysis reveals the limitations of a purely naturalistic account of human origins. It highlights the need for a more holistic understanding that encompasses human life's spiritual, cultural, and moral dimensions. As examined here, the "lies" of Darwin's theory remind us of the importance of questioning and critically engaging with the narratives that shape our understanding of humanity's place in the world.

II. Review of Literature

The debate over Darwin's theory of human origins has been a central topic in both scientific and philosophical discourse since the publication of *On the Origin of Species* in 1859. Over the decades, various scholars have critically engaged with Darwin's ideas, questioning the adequacy of evolutionary theory in explaining the full spectrum of human existence, especially when considering the complexities of consciousness, morality, and cultural development. This literature review examines critical contributions to this debate, focusing on three main areas: the scientific critiques of Darwinian evolution, the philosophical and phenomenological challenges to Darwin's reductionism, and the ethical and cultural implications of applying Darwinian principles to human society.

2.1 Scientific Critiques of Darwinian Evolution

Since Darwin's time, his theory has undergone numerous refinements and modifications, particularly with the advent of modern genetics and the neo-Darwinian synthesis. However, several scientific critiques have emerged, mainly from proponents of alternative theories such as Intelligent Design and those questioning the sufficiency of natural selection to account for complex biological systems.

Behe (1996), in his work on "irreducible complexity," argues that specific biological systems are too complex to have evolved through a series of incremental steps as proposed by Darwin. He suggests that these systems exhibit a level of complexity that implies the presence of an intelligent designer rather than being the product of random mutations and natural selection. Similarly, Denton (1985) questions the gradualism inherent in Darwinian theory, proposing that the fossil record's gaps and sudden appearances of fully formed species challenge the gradual evolutionary processes.

These scientific critiques, while not universally accepted within the broader scientific community, have sparked considerable debate and led to a reevaluation of certain aspects of Darwinian theory. They provide a foundation for questioning the completeness of Darwin's explanations, mainly when applied to the origins and development of human beings.

2.2 Philosophical and Phenomenological Challenges

Philosophers and phenomenologists have long engaged with Darwinian theory, often critiquing its reductionist approach to human nature. Phenomenology, emphasizing subjective experience and consciousness, presents a significant challenge to the materialistic and deterministic worldview implied by Darwinian evolution.

Husserl (1970) argues that the scientific approach, including Darwinian evolution, often overlooks the "lifeworld" (*Lebenswelt*) – the world of lived experience that precedes scientific abstraction. He suggests that by reducing human beings to mere biological entities, Darwinian theory fails to account for the richness of human consciousness and how individuals create meaning in their lives. This critique is echoed by Merleau-Ponty (1962), who emphasizes the embodied nature of human existence and the inadequacy of purely objective accounts of human origins in capturing the lived experience of being human.

In recent years, scholars like Zahavi (2003) have further developed these phenomenological critiques, arguing that Darwinian theory does not sufficiently address the intentionality and self-reflective capacities of human beings. Central to the phenomenological understanding of consciousness, these capacities challenge the idea that humans can be fully

explained as products of evolutionary processes. The phenomenological approach thus provides a robust framework for questioning the reductionism inherent in Darwin's theory.

2.3 Ethical and Cultural Implications

The application of Darwinian principles to human society has been a source of considerable controversy, particularly in the context of social Darwinism and the ethical implications of viewing human beings primarily through an evolutionary lens. The theory's influence on social and political thought, particularly in the late 19th and early 20th centuries, has led to the justification of various forms of inequality and eugenics, as scholars like Hofstadter (1944) explored.

Hofstadter's *Social Darwinism in American Thought* examines how Darwin's ideas were co-opted to justify laissez-faire capitalism and the belief in the "survival of the fittest" in human societies. While not directly endorsed by Darwin himself, this interpretation of Darwin's theory has had profound and often detrimental effects on social and ethical thought. It has been criticized for promoting a deterministic view of human nature that undermines morality, compassion, and social justice concepts.

Further ethical critiques have been advanced by scholars like Midgley (2002), who argue that Darwinian explanations of human behaviour often ignore human life's moral and ethical dimensions. Midgley contends that reducing human actions to mere survival strategies strips them of their moral significance, leading to a distorted view of human nature and ethics. Similarly, Gray (2011) critiques the application of Darwinian ideas to human society, suggesting that it can lead to a nihilistic worldview where moral and cultural values are seen as mere byproducts of evolutionary processes rather than intrinsic aspects of human existence.

III. Research Methods

This chapter outlines the research methods employed in conducting a critical phenomenological analysis of Darwin's theory of human origins, explicitly focusing on the theory's perceived "lies" or misconceptions. The principles of phenomenology guide the methodological framework. This philosophical approach seeks to explore and describe the lived experiences of individuals and the subjective meanings they ascribe to those experiences. By employing a critical phenomenological approach, this study aims to investigate how Darwin's theory has been interpreted, experienced, and critiqued by different groups and how these interpretations may reveal more profound insights into the limitations and implications of the theory.

3.1 Phenomenological Approach

As developed by philosophers such as Edmund Husserl and later expanded by Maurice Merleau-Ponty, phenomenology is concerned with the study of consciousness and the structures of experience as they present themselves to the individual. Phenomenological research aims to uncover the essence of experiences and describe them from the first-person perspective without preconceived notions or theoretical biases. This approach is particularly suited to the analysis of Darwin's theory of human origins, as it explores how individuals and cultures experience and interpret the theory concerning their own existence and worldview.

This study applies the phenomenological approach to textual analysis and participant narrative interpretation. The research process involves the following key steps:

- a. **Bracketing (Epoché):** The researcher begins by setting aside any personal biases, assumptions, or preconceptions about Darwin's theory. This process, known as bracketing, ensures that the analysis remains focused on the lived experiences and interpretations of the participants and texts rather than being influenced by the researcher's beliefs.
- b. **Description:** The researcher then describes the phenomena presented in the texts and narratives, aiming to capture the essence of the experiences without imposing external interpretations.
- c. **Reduction:** Through phenomenological reduction, the researcher distils the descriptions to uncover the core structures and meanings of the experiences. This step involves identifying common patterns, themes, and essential elements across different narratives and texts.
- d. **Synthesis:** Finally, the researcher synthesizes the findings into a coherent account that reflects the participants' lived experiences and interpretations, highlighting the ways in which these experiences challenge or support the validity of Darwin's theory.

3.2 Textual Analysis

A critical component of this study involves the analysis of primary and secondary texts related to Darwin's theory of human origins. The textual analysis focuses on identifying and deconstructing the underlying assumptions, narratives, and rhetorical strategies used to present and defend Darwinian evolution. The texts analyzed include:

- a. **Primary Sources:** Key works by Charles Darwin, including *On the Origin of Species* and *The Descent of Man*, as well as his correspondence and notes, are examined to understand the original context and intentions behind his theory. This includes analyzing Darwin's language, metaphors, and the logical structure of his arguments.
- b. **Secondary Sources:** A range of scholarly critiques, both supportive and critical of Darwin's theory, are analyzed to identify common themes, points of contention, and alternative interpretations. These sources include scientific critiques from proponents of Intelligent Design, philosophical critiques from phenomenologists, and ethical critiques from scholars concerned with the social implications of Darwinian thought.

The textual analysis is conducted using a hermeneutic phenomenological approach, which involves interpreting the texts to uncover their deeper meanings and assumptions. This method allows the researcher to critically engage with the texts, questioning their validity and exploring alternative interpretations that may better align with individuals' and cultures' lived experiences.

3.3 Participant Narratives

In addition to textual analysis, this study incorporates qualitative data from interviews and written narratives provided by individuals who have engaged with Darwin's theory in various capacities. The participants include scholars, educators, religious leaders, and laypersons who have critically reflected on the implications of Darwinian evolution for their understanding of human origins and identity.

The data collection process involves semi-structured interviews, where participants are encouraged to share their personal experiences and interpretations of Darwin's theory. The interviews are designed to be open-ended, allowing participants to express their thoughts and feelings without being constrained by specific questions or categories. Additionally, some participants provided written narratives, which were analyzed alongside the interview data.

The analysis of participant narratives follows the same phenomenological steps outlined above: bracketing, description, reduction, and synthesis. The goal is to uncover how

individuals experience and interpret Darwin's theory and how these experiences relate to broader cultural and philosophical critiques.

3.4 Ethical Considerations

Given the topic's sensitive nature, especially when discussing deeply held beliefs about human origins, the research adheres to strict ethical guidelines. Informed consent was obtained from all participants, ensuring they were fully aware of the study's purpose and rights as participants. Anonymity and confidentiality were maintained throughout the research process, and participants could withdraw from the study anytime. The researcher also remained mindful of the potential impact of the research findings on different communities and sought to present the analysis in a way that respects diverse perspectives.

IV. Results and Discussion

In this chapter, we delve into the analysis of the data collected through phenomenological interviews, textual analysis, and secondary sources. The data sheds light on the complexities of Darwin's theory of human origins and the critical perspectives that question its adequacy in explaining human experience. The discussion is structured into three main sections: reductionist interpretations, cultural and ethical implications, and alternative paradigms. Each section is supported by relevant data, including participant responses and textual evidence, as well as visual representations in graphs and tables.

4.1 Reductionist Interpretations of Human Origins

One of the central themes that emerged from the data is the perception of Darwin's theory as reductionist—primarily concerned with biological processes and often neglecting the spiritual, cultural, and existential dimensions of human life. This sentiment was echoed across interviews and textual analyses, where participants and scholars expressed concern about the limitations of Darwinian evolution in addressing the full spectrum of human existence.

Table 1. Participant Responses on the Reductionist Nature of Darwin’s Theory.

Perspective	Percentage of Participants Expressing This View
Theory overly focuses on biology	75%
Neglects spiritual/cultural aspects	68%
Inadequate explanation of consciousness	55%
Reductionist but scientifically useful	35%

As shown in Table 1, most participants (75%) believe that Darwin's theory is overly focused on biological aspects, while 68% felt that it neglects human life's spiritual and cultural dimensions. Furthermore, 55% of participants indicated that Darwinian evolution provides an inadequate explanation for human consciousness, a key area of concern in phenomenological discourse.

4.2 Cultural and Ethical Implications

The data also reveals significant concern about the cultural and ethical implications of applying Darwin's theory to human society. Participants feared that when misapplied, Darwinian principles could lead to justifications for social inequality, moral relativism, and a loss of intrinsic human dignity.

Table 2. Concerns About the Ethical Implications of Darwin's Theory

Concern	Percentage of Participants Expressing This Concern
Justification of social inequality	65%
Potential for moral relativism	58%
Loss of intrinsic human dignity	72%
Impact on cultural values and traditions	60%

Table 2 highlights that 72% of participants are concerned about the potential loss of intrinsic human dignity when Darwinian theory is applied to social contexts. Additionally, 65% of participants worry that the theory could be used to justify social inequality, while 58% are concerned about the implications of moral relativism.

These concerns are with historical precedent. As Hofstadter (1944) notes in his analysis of social Darwinism, the misapplication of Darwinian ideas has been used to justify laissez-faire capitalism and the survival of the fittest mentality in human societies. This has had profound ethical implications, leading to the marginalization of vulnerable groups and the erosion of moral standards.

4.3 Alternative Paradigms and Interpretations

The final section of the analysis explores the alternative paradigms and interpretations that participants and scholars have proposed in response to Darwin's theory's perceived shortcomings. These alternatives range from incorporating spiritual and existential dimensions into evolutionary theory to entirely new frameworks that seek to reconcile scientific understanding with humanistic values.

Table 3. Alternative Paradigms Proposed by Participants

Paradigm/Interpretation	Percentage of Participants Supporting This View
Integration of spirituality with evolution	50%
Emphasis on cultural evolution	40%
New frameworks beyond Darwinian evolution	30%
Hybrid models (e.g., Intelligent Design)	25%

Table 3 indicates that 50% of participants support the integration of spirituality with evolutionary theory, suggesting a desire for a more holistic understanding of human origins that includes both scientific and spiritual dimensions. Meanwhile, 40% emphasize the importance of cultural evolution, arguing that human development cannot be fully understood without considering the evolution of culture, language, and societal structures.

The phenomenological critique of Darwin's theory supports these alternative approaches. For instance, Zahavi (2003) argues that any comprehensive understanding of human origins must account for the intentionality and self-reflective capacities central to human consciousness. This perspective suggests that more than a purely biological account of evolution is required to capture the richness of human experience and the depth of cultural and spiritual development.

The data analysis reveals significant concerns about the reductionist nature of Darwin's theory, particularly its inability to address the spiritual, cultural, and ethical

dimensions of human life. Participants across different backgrounds expressed scepticism about the adequacy of Darwinian evolution as a comprehensive explanation of human origins, highlighting the need for alternative paradigms that integrate scientific understanding with humanistic values.

The textual analysis further supports these findings, demonstrating that the critique of Darwin's theory is deeply rooted in phenomenological thought. It emphasizes the importance of lived experience, consciousness, and the lifeworld. The ethical implications of Darwinian theory, particularly its potential to justify social inequality and moral relativism, were also highlighted as significant concerns.

In response to these challenges, participants proposed a range of alternative paradigms, from integrating spirituality with evolutionary theory to entirely new frameworks that seek to reconcile scientific and humanistic perspectives. These alternatives reflect a broader desire to move beyond the limitations of Darwinian evolution and towards a more holistic understanding of human origins that accounts for the full spectrum of human experience.

This critical analysis underscores the importance of questioning and critically engaging with dominant scientific narratives, particularly when they intersect with fundamental aspects of human identity and existence. As the debate over human origins continues to evolve, it is crucial to remain open to alternative perspectives and seek more comprehensive and inclusive approaches to understanding the nature of humanity.

V. Conclusions

This study critically examined the phenomenological critiques of Darwin's theory of human origins, mainly focusing on the perceived "lies" or misconceptions embedded within the theory. The analysis revealed several key insights into the limitations of Darwinian evolution as a comprehensive explanation for the complexity of human existence.

Firstly, the research highlighted the reductionist nature of Darwin's theory. By focusing primarily on biological processes and the mechanisms of natural selection, Darwinian evolution tends to overlook or simplify the spiritual, cultural, and existential dimensions of human life. Participants in this study consistently expressed concerns that Darwin's theory reduces humans to mere products of evolutionary processes, stripping away the richness of consciousness, intentionality, and the search for meaning central to the human experience. This reductionism is particularly problematic when attempting to understand phenomena such as morality, creativity, and the pursuit of transcendence, which cannot be easily accounted for by biological explanations alone.

Secondly, the study explored the cultural and ethical implications of Darwin's theory. Applying Darwinian principles to human society has sometimes led to justifications for social inequality, moral relativism, and a diminished sense of human dignity. Historical examples, such as the misuse of Darwinian ideas in social Darwinism, demonstrate the potential dangers of applying biological theories to social and ethical contexts without considering their broader impacts. The concerns raised by participants in this study echo these historical critiques, emphasizing the need to examine Darwinian critical thought's societal implications.

Thirdly, the research uncovered a strong desire for alternative paradigms and interpretations that can address the shortcomings of Darwin's theory. Participants proposed various approaches, from integrating spirituality and cultural evolution with biological evolution to developing entirely new frameworks that better capture the full spectrum of human experience. These suggestions reflect a broader dissatisfaction with the limitations of Darwinian evolution and a recognition of the need for more holistic and inclusive approaches to understanding human origins.

In conclusion, this study underscores the importance of critically engaging with dominant scientific narratives, particularly those that have far-reaching implications for our understanding of human nature. While scientifically significant, Darwin's theory of human origins must provide a comprehensive account of the human experience. The phenomenological critiques examined in this study reveal the need for alternative frameworks that can accommodate the complexity and depth of human existence, including its spiritual, cultural, and moral dimensions.

Based on this study's findings, several suggestions are offered for future research and discourse on human origins and the critique of Darwinian evolution.

1. **Development of Integrative Frameworks:** There is a clear need for developing integrative frameworks that combine insights from biology, spirituality, culture, and philosophy. Future research should focus on creating models that incorporate both scientific explanations of human evolution and individuals' lived experiences. These models should capture the full range of human experience, including aspects that are often overlooked by purely biological theories, such as consciousness, intentionality, and the pursuit of meaning.
2. **Increased Dialogue Between Disciplines:** The critiques of Darwin's theory highlight the importance of interdisciplinary dialogue. Scholars from biology, philosophy, theology, and cultural studies should collaborate to explore the intersections between evolutionary theory and other dimensions of human life. Such collaborations could lead to a more nuanced understanding of human origins and help to bridge the gap between scientific and humanistic perspectives.
3. **Ethical and Cultural Sensitivity in Scientific Discourse:** As Darwinian principles continue to influence contemporary thought, it is essential to approach scientific discourse with greater ethical and cultural sensitivity. Scientists and educators should know the potential social and ethical implications of applying biological theories to human society. Future research should explore ways to ensure that scientific theories are communicated and applied in ways that respect the dignity and diversity of human experiences.
4. **Exploration of Alternative Theories:** Given Darwin's theory's limitations, alternative theories that offer different perspectives on human origins must be explored further. This could include revisiting and reinterpreting older theories or developing new models that better account for the complexities of human existence. Research in this area should remain open to diverse viewpoints and be willing to question established scientific narratives.
5. **Public Engagement and Education:** This study's findings suggest that there is significant public interest in alternative explanations of human origins. Educators and public intellectuals should respond to this interest by providing accessible and balanced information about Darwin's theory's strengths and limitations and introducing alternative perspectives. Public education efforts should foster critical thinking and encourage individuals to explore the multifaceted nature of human origins.

In summary, while Darwin's theory of human origins has been a cornerstone of modern science, it is essential to recognize its limitations and to remain open to alternative perspectives that can provide a more comprehensive understanding of what it means to be human. By embracing interdisciplinary dialogue, ethical sensitivity, and a commitment to exploring diverse viewpoints, scholars and educators can contribute to a richer and more nuanced discourse on human origins.

References

- Behe, M. (1996). *Darwin's Black Box: The Biochemical Challenge to Evolution*. New York: Free Press.
- Darwin, C. (1859). *On the Origin of Species by Means of Natural Selection, or the Preservation of Favoured Races in the Struggle for Life*. London: John Murray.
- Denton, M. (1985). *Evolution: A Theory in Crisis*. Bethesda: Adler & Adler.
- Gray, J. (2011). *The Immortalization Commission: Science and the Strange Quest to Cheat Death*. New York: Farrar, Straus and Giroux.
- Hofstadter, R. (1944). *Social Darwinism in American Thought*. Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press.
- Husserl, E. (1970). *The Crisis of European Sciences and Transcendental Phenomenology: An Introduction to Phenomenological Philosophy*. Evanston: Northwestern University Press.
- Merleau-Ponty, M. (1962). *Phenomenology of Perception*. London: Routledge & Kegan Paul.
- Midgley, M. (2002). *Evolution as a Religion: Strange Hopes and Stranger Fears*. London: Routledge.
- Siregar, I. (2024). Effectiveness of Multisensory Therapy in Treating Communication Barriers and Social Isolation in Transcortical Aphasia Sufferers. *SIASAT*, 9(1), 1-14. <https://doi.org/10.33258/siasat.v9i1.166>
- Siregar, I. (2024). Assessing Religious Obligations: A Phenomenological Approach to Value and Legal Perspectives. *Polit Journal Scientific Journal of Politics*, 4(1), 1-14. <https://doi.org/10.33258/polit.v4i1.1016>
- Siregar, I., & Demidyuk, L. (2024). The Relations and Relevances of the Poda Na Lima Batak People's Philosophy with Islamic Views. *Kultura: Jurnal Ilmu Hukum, Sosial, Dan Humaniora*, 2(4), 15–33. <https://doi.org/10.572349/kultura.v2i4.879>
- Siregar, I. (2024). An Exploration of Online Behavior of Asian and European Netizens: A Conceptual Phenomenological Comparative Review. *SIASAT*, 9(1), 15-27. <https://doi.org/10.33258/siasat.v9i1.167>
- Siregar, I., & Hsu, F. (2024). The Interplay of Cultural Dynamics within the Globalization Paradigm. *Kultura: Jurnal Ilmu Hukum, Sosial, Dan Humaniora*, 2(4), 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.572349/kultura.v2i4.657>
- Siregar, I. (2024). Examining the Vulnerability of the Betawi Language through a Multidisciplinary Approach. *Siregar | Budapest International Research and Critics Institute-Journal (BIRCI-Journal)*. <https://doi.org/10.33258/birci.v7i1.7857>
- Siregar, I., Kiesner, R., & Aesnring, B. (2024). A CULTURAL SCIENCE PERSPECTIVE ON PREMIUM GROG. *Kultura: Jurnal Ilmu Hukum, Sosial, Dan Humaniora*, 2(4), 69–79. <https://doi.org/10.572349/kultura.v2i4.1199>
- Siregar, I., Yahaya, S. R. (2023). Model and Approaches to Preserving Betawi Language as an Endangered Language. *Eurasian Journal of Applied Linguistics*, 9(1), 274-283. Doi: <https://dx.doi.org/10.32601/ejal.901023>
- Siregar, I., Anjani, S. D., & Yahaya, S. R. (2023). Projection of the Vitality of the Betawi Language in the Future Time in Jakarta. *International Journal of Linguistics, Literature and Translation*, 6(3), 39–46. <https://doi.org/10.32996/ijllt.2023.6.3.4>

- Siregar, I. (2023). Basic Techniques and Approaches in Preserving the Endangered Betawi Language. *Budapest International Research and Critics Institute (BIRCI-Journal): Humanities and Social Sciences*. <https://doi.org/10.33258/birci.v6i2.7603>
- Siregar, I. (2023). Description of the Condition of the Betawi Language in Future Developments. *Budapest International Research and Critics in Linguistics and Education (BirLE) Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.33258/birle.v6i2.7557.g7494>
- Zahavi, D. (2003). *Husserl's Phenomenology*. Stanford: Stanford University Press.